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Abstract
Resettling refugee women may be at greater risk than other women for several harmful reproductive health outcomes as a result of their migration experience. The objective of this study was to determine differences in reproductive health status between refugee women in countries of resettlement and non-refugee counterparts. A systematic review of the literature culled from five electronic databases and web searching of international agencies and academic centres focusing on refugees was conducted. Of the forty-one high quality studies identified, fourteen looked at refugees exclusively; only nine of the fourteen focused on the reproductive health of refugees; six of the nine directly compared refugee to non-refugee women’s health. There is a paucity of population-based data to support or refute claims of greater reproductive health risks for resettling refugee women.

Résumé
Les femmes réfugiées en situation de réétablissement pourraient bien être plus susceptibles que d’autres femmes de souffrir d’un certain nombre de conséquences néfastes en matière de santé génésique suite à l’expérience de la migration. Le but de cette étude était de cerner les différences entre le niveau de santé génésique des femmes réfugiées dans les pays de réétablissement et leurs congénères non-réfugiées. Pour ce faire, un examen systématique de la littérature provenant de cinq bases de données électroniques a été entrepris, ainsi que des recherches sur le Web d’agences et de centres académiques internationaux. Des 41 études de haut niveau identifiées, seules 9 de ces études se concentraient sur la santé génésique des réfugiées ; 6 de ces 9 études effectuaient une comparaison directe entre la santé des réfugiées et celle des non-réfugiées. Il existe en fait un manque de données démographiques qui permettraient de soutenir ou de rejeter l’affirmation selon laquelle les risques sont accrus en matière de santé génésique chez les femmes réfugiées en cours de rétablissement.

Introduction
There are currently fifteen million refugees and asylum seekers worldwide, a percentage of whom will resettle in host countries. The health of resettling refugees is not well known since health data are rarely reported for refugees separate from all immigrants combined. Refugees, individuals forced from their homeland and unable to return for a period of time due to socio-political instability (paraphrased from UNHCR), and asylum seekers arriving in resettlement countries are thought to be at greater risk than the general population for several harmful health outcomes as a result of their migration history. Anecdotal reports from professionals suggest that childbearing and other aspects of reproductive health add an additional burden on female refugees, which places them in a particularly disadvantaged position. These suppositions have not been systematically examined.

Reports would suggest that screening and care provided to resettling refugees is anything but systematic. Policy makers and program planners, however, generally see knowledge of health “events” (including illness episodes and health/social services use) as required for optimal health planning. The extent and nature of health “events” and their determinants in resettling refugee women and their infants becomes even more relevant when the role of...
development from birth to six months of life on future health outcomes is considered.5

Review of the Literature

Refugee Women’s Reproductive Health

Prior to Resettlement

Refugee women experience several challenges to their health. Published review articles and case studies describe the experience of refugees in transit or in camps. The issues considered can be grouped into five broad categories: (1) fertility regulation, (2) sexually transmitted infections, (3) sex and gender-based violence, (4) pregnancy and childbirth, and (5) health services availability and use.

There are differing opinions of the effects of migration on fertility and family planning.6 One suggests that forced migration increases fertility as refugees satisfy their desire to repopulate, in order to replace deceased children or soldiers and as migration produces a healthier, more stable environment (for example, in some camp situations) with improved health care services and nutrition. The opposing opinion suggests that migration decreases the fertility rate of refugees because of perceived uncertainty of the future, economic instability, and marital separation. Fertility rates have also been found to vary with knowledge and availability of contraception. In sum, there are no known common fertility patterns of refugees.

Refugee women appear to be at greater risk than other women for sexually transmitted infections (STIs), including human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), for a variety of reasons.7 Migration often occurs without the accompaniment of spouses, thereby increasing the likelihood of sexual activity outside stable relationships. Military operations have been found to be associated with an increase in STI transmission and many refugees are fleeing war-torn areas or must travel through or encamp in those areas. Economic disruption may require refugee women to be involved in sexual activity to acquire food or other goods for themselves or their children. Psychological stresses, including the need for protection from soldiers or men living in or near the camps, may also lead to the granting of sexual favours. Men entrusted to ensure the travel of refugee women to a safe haven may demand sexual favors. Migration appears to increase the incidence of sexual and gender-based violence (SGBV; e.g., rape, forced impregnation, and other forms of violence), which in turn promotes the spread of STIs.

The use of SGBV by one group to oppress another has long been in existence in times of war. Incidence is difficult to estimate since it is grossly under-reported. The use of SGBV as a weapon of war has come to light more recently, due to the atrocities in Rwanda and the former Yugoslavia.8 Systematic rape may be used as a weapon for ethnic cleansing. Women less than twenty-five years of age, and of a particular ethnic background, are thought to be at greater risk for SGBV, as are women of low socio-economic status who live in circumstances with poor security. SGBV leads to the spread of HIV and STIs; can lead to genital, anal, and other physical injuries and to unwanted pregnancies; and accounts for a variety of psychosocial difficulties for women.9

Domestic violence plagues many women worldwide and this form of violence may begin or escalate during pregnancy, or patterns of abuse may be altered with more injuries to the abdominal area attempted.10 Physical and psychological torture has been extensively reported to occur to both women and men and takes many forms.11 All organ systems may be affected and in particular the musculoskeletal and nervous systems. Post-traumatic stress disorder, anxiety, depression, somatization, and other psychological effects are common sequelae. Refugee men may be subject to general physical torture while refugee women are subject to sexual abuse.

Female genital mutilation (FGM) affects one hundred million girls and women worldwide and is considered by many to be a form of SGBV. It is performed in twenty-six African countries and by groups in Oman, South Yemen, the United Arab Emirates, Indonesia, and Malaysia.12 In addition to the chronic health effects of these procedures, including urinary tract infections, painful menstruation, and scarring, difficulties can arise in passing the infant through the birth canal and there is increased risk of uterine rupture.13

It is generally assumed that refugee women have poorer pregnancy outcomes than other women, although few data are available to refute or support this claim. It is likely that infant and pregnancy health outcomes such as mortality are poorer in war-affected populations although perhaps no worse than in their own country of origin once restabilization of the country or population occurs.14 This may be explained by the relatively greater availability of health care services in refugee camps. There is also a dearth of data on other maternal health outcomes such as morbidity and nutritional status. Safe motherhood is thought to be determined by factors shared by settled populations: socio-economic status, age, education, access to services, and urban vs. rural habitation.15 However, what distinguishes migrating refugee women from settled women is their increased exposure to war, SGBV, abuse and torture, and STIs/HIV.

Several reports have considered the needs of refugee women and the reproductive health care services that they are receiving.16 A great deal of effort is now being placed on ensuring that a minimum set of reproductive health services is made available to refugee women in camps.
Migration and Health in Resettlement Countries

Immigration classifications vary by country, although the concept of the ability to freely return to the country of origin usually distinguishes immigrants, who have that option, from refugees, who do not. The differences in experiences between those in these two broad categories have been reviewed. When examined together, immigrants are multi-ethnic, their mother tongue and language used vary, and they have a variety of religious traditions, lifestyles, and political alliances. As opposed to refugees, other immigrants choose to resettle. They are motivated to leave their countries and re-establish themselves in a new country in the hope of a better life. Their departures are planned and they are able to return to their countries of origin if they choose. On the other hand, refugees are forced to leave their countries to ensure their survival. Their arrival in the new country is in many respects involuntary and they are not able to return to their countries of origin. Their departures from their homelands are often from violent situations in which they have not been able to put closure to important relationships and they may feel guilty for leaving their families or friends. All immigrants will go through phases of adjustment, although the permanent, forced nature of the refugee migration experience makes their integration into society more difficult.

There is a paucity of systematically collected data on health statistics as they relate to migration history. Most available reports are of small studies, each with its own objectives, methods, and measurement strategies, dissimilar from the others. One review has summarized some of the apparent trends in health due to migration, specifically migration within the European Union. The quality of individual studies reviewed, in particular sampling strategies, which might suggest that results are representative of the population under investigation, was not addressed. With this limitation in mind, that review suggested that there are trends towards a rise in tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS, cardiovascular diseases, and certain cancers in immigrants. It also suggested that there is a greater number of avoidable accidental injuries at work and at home. Another study suggested that communicable disease prevalence is high in certain immigrant population groups. Also reported are difficulties in communication, problematic interpretations of patient symptoms, lack of health-care provider understanding of traditional remedies for common ailments, unemployment, depression, and under-utilization of services.

Psychosocial problems appear to be common and may result from resettlement policies stressing geographical dispersion of migrants to areas where there are few “like” community members in an effort to quickly integrate them into mainstream society. Separation and divorce are reported to be frequent. Additional family difficulties are said to occur if children are seen to be integrating more quickly than their parents by acquiring the language skills of the new country, resulting in a capacity to more easily function in the new society with a shift in power from the parent to the child.

Refugee Women’s Health during Resettlement

As with studies of migration and health generally, many studies of resettling refugee women’s health have also been small, and, for the most part, did not define “refugee” consistently nor did they rely on representative sampling or make a direct comparison between refugee women and their host country counterparts. These limitations preclude drawing conclusions with regard to the prevalence of health concerns within the population of resettling refugee women and their relative importance in comparison to host-country women. They do, however, suggest health issues that should be considered with regard to refugee women. These include: conflicts arising in women concerning control of their own sexuality, perinatal health, the reintroduction of FGM, mental health, health service needs, occupational health risks, and discrimination.

Many immigrant and refugee women are reported to have difficulty controlling their sexuality. There is a great deal of confusion with regard to the maintenance of virginity, with family values and those of the new society often clashing. This can lead to requests for hymenal reconstruction by some women who are expected to be virgins when they marry and must provide evidence of this through blood-stained sheets. Girls may suffer a fear of being put to death if it is determined that they are not virgins. Women from some African countries are not taught or socialized to say “no” to sexual advances by their husbands. This stands in stark contrast to many refugee-receiving countries in which a woman may refuse her husband’s advances and if he forces himself on her, he can be charged with rape. If women suggest the use of condoms to husbands having extramarital affairs, this can lead to violence by the husbands towards the women. These women risk being abused in their attempts to protect themselves against STIs and unwanted pregnancies. Infertility or sub-fertility is also thought to cause a great number of problems, especially in groups in which fertility gives rise to social standing.

Perinatal health outcomes are cited as an area of concern. Infants born to migrants from certain countries have been reported to be of lower birthweight and shorter gestational age, and to experience higher perinatal and postneonatal mortality than infants of nationals. Only limited reference has been made to other areas of reproductive
health. Nutrition, including breastfeeding, was cited as another area of concern. Initiation and continuance of breastfeeding is thought to be decreasing in migrants and nutritional problems in their children are reported to be common.

FGM is being reintroduced into Europe and North America by certain immigrant communities. The Centers for Disease Control in the U.S., for example, estimates that approximately 168,000 girls and women living in the U.S. in 1990 either had or may have been at risk for FGM. An estimated 48,000 of these were under eighteen and about 75 per cent of these were born in the U.S.

Several mental health issues have been cited as important to resettling refugee women. These include anxiety, depression, somatization, social isolation, and domestic violence. A review of childbearing and women's mental health noted studies reporting psychiatric disorders during pregnancy and postpartum. In addition to other psychiatric disorders, post-traumatic stress disorder was reported.

Inadequate health services due to language barriers, or inappropriate sex or culture “matching” between the woman and her care provider, have been reported. General health services delivery issues relevant to resettling refugee women are reported to include: general attitudes toward disease, attitudes towards receiving care by male health care professionals, and religious taboos.

Occupational health issues are another area to consider. Refugee women may be employed in certain types of industry for which they are over-qualified and in which the general health risks are important due partially to poor protection by employers. Some of the general health issues include repeated movement injuries; eye, lung, and skin exposure to toxic substances; long hours of factory employment followed by long hours of home care; and accidental injury. Foreign-born educational credentials, which some refugee women may possess, are an asset to the receiving society in terms of the knowledge base gained. They can, however, lead to psychological problems in the woman due to her drop in social status when those credentials are not recognized by the receiving society.

The methods chosen to answer the research question were based not on an interest in the specifics of a particular refugee group, but rather on an interest in the potential similarities of women’s health issues across refugees resettling in various countries worldwide and the extent to which issues suggested in the qualitative literature and in non-representative studies were supported in population-based reports. It was thought that identifying common issues across resettling refugee women might enlighten policy makers in various refugee-receiving countries as to the health issues to be considered in defining immigration policies and in planning for resettlement.

The methods chosen to answer the research question were based not on an interest in the specifics of a particular refugee group, but rather on an interest in the potential similarities of women’s health issues across refugees resettling in various countries worldwide and the extent to which issues suggested in the qualitative literature and in non-representative studies were supported in population-based reports. It was thought that identifying common issues across resettling refugee women might enlighten policy makers in various refugee-receiving countries as to the health issues to be considered in defining immigration policies and in planning for resettlement.

Search Strategy for Identification of Studies
Figure 1:
Factors Related to the Reproductive Health of Resettling Refugee Women

- Migration Factors
  - Forced to leave country of origin
  - Rural/urban source area
  - War in source country
  - Camp experience
  - History of torture/abuse
  - Family separation
  - "Like community" in new country
  - Length of time in new country
  - Official language ability
  - Discrimination experience
  - Change in social status
  - Legal status in new country

- Bio-psycho-social Factors
  - Age
  - Education
  - Diet
  - Nutritional status
  - Infectious disease exposure
  - Injury
  - Social support/isolation
  - Problem solving ability
  - Employment history & occupational exposures
  - Income history (household & woman)
  - Stress/anxiety
  - Stress management
  - Depression
  - Post-traumatic stress disorder
  - Somatization
  - Smoking/alcohol/drug use
  - Services available/received (Western & traditional)
  - Sex/culture matching with provider
  - Current abuse

- Women’s Health
  - Family planning
  - Post-abortion care
  - STI/HIV prevention
  - Female genital mutilation
  - Sex & gender-based violence (including rape)
  - Pregnancy & childbirth history
  - Current pregnancy & childbirth
  - Menopause

- Infant Health
  - Infant mortality
  - Gestational age at birth
  - Birthweight
  - Breastfeeding
  - Growth & development
  - Maternal competence in childcare
  - Maternal-infant interaction
  - Rape history resulting in indicator birth
after consultation with a university librarian regarding optimal search strategies and database-specific terminology. Selected terms related to refugees, immigrants, multiculturalism/culture were used, producing 183,361 citations. When these terms were combined with “women’s health” or related terms, 1,568 citations were identified. This list of citations was reviewed, and relevant abstracts obtained. Abstracts clearly describing studies not meeting inclusion criteria were excluded from further consideration. All remaining full-text articles (n = 193) were obtained for review. The specific search strategies applied to each database are detailed in Table 1. Bibliographies of relevant studies were reviewed and additional articles retrieved. Abstracts from the Conference Proceedings of the Reproductive Health for Refugees Consortium, 2000, were also reviewed. Web sites of multilateral and bilateral agencies that address refugees’ concerns and academic centres focusing on refugees were searched for relevant literature. A web search was also conducted using the Google search engine, applying the terms “refugee women” and “reproductive health.”

Procedure for Consolidating Studies Identified

The full text of studies identified from the various sources was reviewed and inclusion criteria were applied to them. Those of refugee women in camps or in transit were removed from further consideration. Remaining studies were subsequently assessed for their methodological quality in terms of providing a population estimate of a health event.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refugee*</td>
<td>Refugees or asylum, tw or refugees$, tw</td>
<td>Exp. Refugees</td>
<td>Exp. Refugees or asylum, tw</td>
<td>Exp. Refugees</td>
<td>Exp. Refugees or Asylum seeker, mp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigrant*</td>
<td>Emigration &amp; Immigration or population dynamics</td>
<td>Exp. Immigrants/ or immigrants, illegal, illegal.mp or transient.mp</td>
<td>Exp. Emigration &amp; Immigration</td>
<td>Exp. Immigrants</td>
<td>Exp. Emigrants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicultural*</td>
<td>Exp. Cultural diversity or exp. ethnic groups or culture</td>
<td>Exp. Cultural diversity/ or exp. ethnic groups/</td>
<td>Exp. Cross-cultural comparison/ or exp. Cultural diversity/ or ethnic groups.mp</td>
<td>Multiculturalism4</td>
<td>Exp. Culture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s Health*</td>
<td>Exp. women’s health5</td>
<td>Exp. women’s health</td>
<td>Exp. Women’s health6</td>
<td>Exp. Health and Exp. Human Female</td>
<td>Women’s health care = 111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>C = 538 = 967; kept = 88</td>
<td>C = 339; kept = 50</td>
<td>C = 160; kept = 23</td>
<td>C = 68; kept = 23</td>
<td>C = 463; kept = 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of “combination” articles = 1568
Number of “kept” articles = 193

* And related terms
Exp. = explode term
tw = text word
C = search term combined with women’s health or related term
kept = the studies that were kept from the search

1 No pertinent data from 1887 to 1967.
2 Difficult search; “women” and “women’s health” were not relevant search terms; “female” as a search term was vague; none of the searches produced relevant articles.
3 Combined all search terms with “women’s health care” and with “female.”
4 Term is not used before 1984.
6 No relevant articles were found
7 “Women’s health” was not a strong search term from 1975–1991. “Health/or women’s health” was used as a search term.
8 Number of hits found when combining “health” and “exp. Cultural diversity,” etc. from 1966 to 1986.
Methodological quality was determined through assessment of the likely presence or absence of biases that might have affected the internal validity of the studies’ results. These included assessments of (1) the adequacy of the sampling strategy and completeness of follow-up and (2) appropriateness of the measurement strategy including the use of reliable and valid questionnaires administered in appropriate language and cultural contexts.

Based on this assessment, studies were graded as “low quality” in terms of providing a population estimate of a health event if the sampling strategy was not representative of the population of interest or if it was not described, and if the measurement strategy employed questionnaires or other measurement strategies with no reliability or validity data to support their use in that population or was not described. They were graded as being of “moderate quality” if the sampling strategy was not clearly representative of the population of interest but employed a quasi-representative approach and if the measurement strategy included some consideration of cultural/language variations in obtaining needed data or if there was representative sampling with weak measurement strategies or vice versa. Studies were considered to be of “high quality” if the sampling strategy was clearly representative and if measurement strategies employed were known to be reliable and valid for the population under study. Studies were grouped into low, medium, and high quality for purposes of discussion; no statistical analyses were used to combine the data due to the large variation in health events selected for measure in each of the studies.

As the scoring scheme suggests, those studies not deemed to be of high quality had important limitations, suggesting that health event estimates provided by them might lead to inaccurate conclusions regarding the health status of refugee and other women. Only data from high quality studies, therefore, were used in attempting to answer the research question.

Results
The various search strategies employed resulted in a large number of citations potentially eligible for inclusion (n = 1,568) and application of initial inclusion criteria resulted in retrieval of a large number of articles (n = 193). Once reviewed, a total of forty-one studies met the “high quality” criteria; twenty-three met moderate quality criteria, and twenty-five were found to be of poor quality.

Fourteen of the high-quality studies looked at refugees exclusively, nine of which focused on reproductive health indicators. The remaining twenty-seven studies included “unspecified” immigrants, nineteen of which focused on reproductive health indicators and eight of which focused on other health indicators.

Of the fourteen “high quality” studies on resettling refugee women, eight were published in the 1980s, five in the 1990s, and one in 2000. Of the fourteen, twelve were conducted with Indochinese refugees, including Khmer, Vietnamese, Laotian, Cambodian (Kampuchean), Chinese-Vietnamese, and Thai. Eleven of the twelve were conducted in the United States, one in Australia. The twelve studies taken together shed some light on the health status of Indochinese refugee women in industrialized resettlement countries. Eight of the studies examined reproductive health and four, mental health. Five of the reproductive health studies made some comparison to the resettlement population. These comparisons revealed that Indochinese refugee women have higher fertility rates and higher rates of low birthweight infants, but lower infant mortality rates when compared with host country populations. More recent arrivals (e.g., in the resettlement country for less than three months) appeared to have the highest levels of fertility and highest rates of low birthweight infants. Other factors found to have affected reproductive health included greater parity, older mothers, shorter pregnancy intervals, inadequate utilization of prenatal care, previous adverse outcomes, and limited education. Moreover, the number of children born prior to arrival in the resettlement country, the number of years married, and the level of economic and cultural adaptation were all shown to be associated with decreased fertility, whereas aspects of migration history (e.g., time spent in refugee camp) were associated with increased fertility.

The three studies of Indochinese refugees that do not make comparisons to the resettlement population suggest that: refugee women from a rural background have higher fertility levels than those of women in urban areas; those in resettlement countries for shorter periods present at greater risk, lacking prenatal care, having more infants of low birthweight and more pregnancy complications; and a high number of refugee women are infected with intestinal parasites and other infections.

The four studies on Indochinese refugee women focusing on mental health show that a number of these women suffer from somatization, post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, and psychological distress. One of these studies compared refugees to immigrants and found that somatization was higher in refugees. Associated with mental illnesses were the following factors: low income, low levels of acculturation, exposure to violent/traumatic events, lengthy time spent in a refugee camp, and older age.
The two studies that do not consider Indochinese refugee women look at Bosnian women\textsuperscript{74} and refugee women from Eastern Europe, the former Soviet Union, the Middle East, and Africa\textsuperscript{75} and examine these populations resettling in Sweden and Greece respectively. Results suggest that Bosnian women have poorer overall health than Swedish women, namely, low quality of life as measured by poor appetite, memory loss, little leisure time, and low levels of mental wellness as evidenced by low energy, patience, sleep, mood swings, and more physical symptoms. Refugee women in Greece, when compared to indigenous Greek women, were found to have similar rates of low birthweight and pre-term delivery.

The nineteen studies which focus on the reproductive health of “unspecified” immigrant women defined their population as foreign-born without specifying immigrant status. They are included in this report because of a paucity of evidence specific to refugee women. Two studies indicate that immigrant status was measured, but do not present results based on status differences.\textsuperscript{76}

Unlike the fourteen studies discussed above, these nineteen studies were conducted in a wide range of ethnic populations. Eleven included all immigrants in their study (i.e., anyone born outside of the host country)\textsuperscript{77} and/or described the population by source continent or race.\textsuperscript{78} The remaining eight studies looked at specific ethnic populations including Mexicans or Puerto Ricans;\textsuperscript{79} Turks, Filipinos, or Vietnamese;\textsuperscript{80} and Ethiopians.\textsuperscript{81} Study settings also varied, with nine of the studies having taken place in the U.S., five in Canada, four in Australia, and one in England.

The results of these nineteen studies suggest overall that foreign-born women experience the same risk, or better birth outcomes in terms of birthweight and/or incidence of pre-term births and/or rate of infant mortality,\textsuperscript{82} and these positive outcomes progressively worsen as time in the receiving country lengthens and/or they become more acculturated.\textsuperscript{83} Two studies found foreign-born women to have a significant rate of low birthweight infants,\textsuperscript{84} while two other studies completely contradicted the above findings, contending that foreign-born women have worse birth outcomes, including higher rates of stillbirths, of peri/post-natal death,\textsuperscript{85} and a higher incidence of low birthweight infants.\textsuperscript{86}

As in the refugee-specific studies, fertility rates were found to be high in the “foreign-born” population and higher for those with shorter periods of time in resettlement countries.\textsuperscript{87} Other results included: dissatisfaction with prenatal care;\textsuperscript{88} reduced prenatal care (fewer than three prenatal visits) associated with a lack of insurance benefits (irrespective of citizenship);\textsuperscript{89} infant care behaviours that vary with number of years since immigration;\textsuperscript{90} and an increased rate of premarital childbearing amongst immigrant Puerto Rican women when compared to women in their homeland.\textsuperscript{91}

The remaining eight high-quality studies which focus on other health indicators do not differentiate refugee women from immigrants and also present results on the “foreign born” as a whole. Three of these studies looked at psychological illness in immigrants and found them to suffer from somatization\textsuperscript{92} and psychological distress.\textsuperscript{93} Psychological distress is shown to be associated with low sense of coherence, poor sense of control, economic difficulties, trauma and/or violence experienced and/or living,\textsuperscript{94} and numerous relocations.\textsuperscript{95} Results of these studies also indicate that immigrants are healthier than the host population in terms of chronic illnesses, life expectancy, and disability and dependency, with immigrants in host countries for the shortest time being the healthiest.\textsuperscript{96}

Discussion
In this systematic review of refugee women’s reproductive health, studies of high quality were identified which provide data on population estimates of a narrow range of health events, and these largely in Indochinese refugee women resettling in the U.S. Although there is a great deal of literature on refugees, and refugee women’s reproductive health is taking on added importance due to massive movements of people across continents, few data are available to inform immigration health policy in this area. Little has been published on the effect of refugee versus non-refugee migration history on women’s health outcomes. In fact, only six studies of high quality comparing reproductive health effects of migration history were identified in this search of five electronic databases and several web sites. The current study adds to the existing body of literature on resettling refugee women’s health by highlighting the increased risk, over U.S. nationals, for resettling Indochinese refugees to give birth to low birthweight infants and for them to experience somatization. This review also highlights the lack of clarity employed in published literature in defining study populations by immigration status, migration history, and sex. Extremely few high-quality population-based data are available to support the conclusions of smaller reports described in other literature and represented in Figure 1. This systematic review suggests that there is extremely little evidence available upon which policy and clinical decisions related to the reproductive health of refugee women can be made given the paucity of high quality population-based data.

Limitations
The results of this study are based on the use of electronic databases, which are searched using keywords input by a
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librarian. It is possible that the keywords used to describe a given article when creating the database and those used for this study could have differed. Further, non-English language keywords would not have been identified in this search. Extensive consultation with a university librarian and additional searching of citations of literature obtained in the initial search were methods applied to reduce the possibility of missing key studies. Studies that have not been published were not included in this review because no such studies were identified from the non-database searches.

Clinical/Policy Implications
The results of this study indicate that health-related indicators identified in non-population-based studies of refugee women are generally not supported in the high-quality population-based studies currently available with the exception of Indochinese refugee women resettling in the U.S. In that population, care should be taken to ensure adequate assessment for potentially giving birth to low birthweight infants and for the presence of somatization, since both of these health indicators occur more frequently in this population group than in the non-refugee group.

Other factors identified in non-population-based studies were not confirmed in high-quality population-based studies but likely need to be considered in clinical care until they have been ruled out as having been idiosyncratic to a particular subset of refugee women. A thorough clinical assessment should include bio-psycho-social factors, including screening for tuberculosis, intestinal parasites, experience of malaria during pregnancy, and changes in socioeconomic status. Written translations of patient instructions need to be made available to improve comprehension. Risk factors for torture should be assessed including refugee or political asylum-seeking status, immigrant from totalitarian regime, civil war in country of origin, residence in refugee camp, prisoner of war, multiple family members deceased due to trauma, history of arrest or detention, and leadership in anti-government organizations.97

Professionals need to affirm that all forms of SGBV are unacceptable in all forums available to them, especially policy forums. Professional bodies need to publicly defend health professionals detained in the performance of their duties and in the maintenance of ethical standards.98 Legislation to prevent FGM needs to be put forward and supported.

Research Implications
The background literature presented suggests that there are several indicators of health to be explored on a population level to determine the extent to which reports of health problems in a few individual women is, or is not, a widespread problem requiring greater investment in human and financial resources. Several of the issues to be examined are difficult, although not impossible, to address on a population level due to their delicate nature, histories of SGBV and spousal abuse being among them. However, these and others do require confirmation on a larger representative population. Having determined the extent of the problem, implementing and evaluating solutions to them will be required. The weaknesses of several of the studies attempting to provide population estimates must be avoided. These include non-representative sampling strategies and use of culturally inappropriate approaches to obtain needed data. A wide body of literature on translation theory can be tapped for appropriate methodology.

Conclusion
The results of this systematic review of refugee women’s reproductive health suggest there are a woefully inadequate number of studies directly comparing the health events experienced by resettling refugee women to those of their non-refugee counterparts. This paucity of data prohibits planners and policy makers from making informed decisions regarding the distribution of resources. Results further show that, of a large number of factors suggested by other literature to be important, none have been confirmed in high-quality population-based studies of refugee women from a wide variety of backgrounds. There is an urgent need for more studies examining refugee women specifically. In doing so, better definitions of immigration status should be used, optimal translation procedures and culturally sensitive methodology should be exploited, and sampling of populations should be done in a representative fashion.
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