A refugee claimant from China is given a preliminary hearing under the new Canadian legislation. She is found not to have a credible claim. In desperation, she slashes her wrists. Her suicide attempt is unsuccessful. In the ensuing publicity a story emerges of her and her father's imprisonment, of a poorly prepared duty counsel, of a hearing officer who wondered who Mr. Kuomintang was whom the woman kept mentioning.

In January, in Montreal, the claim of another woman is refused. She was from Haiti. She jumped in front of a subway train. Her suicide attempt was successful.

A young Vietnamese refugee in Phanat Nikhom sets himself on fire. His body is consumed by flames.

All these victims felt powerless. All three were obviously desperate. In death, they undercut the distinctions of academics and policy makers between convention and humanitarian refugees, between refugees and those displaced by civil war and repressive conditions in impoverished nations. Self sacrifice can be the final act of an individual who, when denied all other means to control and give some direction to his or her own life, can at least assert the right to take his or her own life.

They can also write letters appealing for us to help. We print one example of such a letter. In Canada, we can help. Ten
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Refugees are not totally powerless either. Geza Tessenyi was a lawyer in advance of his time who pioneered in the private practice of law in contemporary communist Hungary. He fled as a refugee after receiving threats from government officials. He is now a scholar in Holland. In this issue he writes about the attempts of the broad category of displaced persons to forge a path other than self-immolation. They are striving to organize.

Unlike workers who organized into unions, they do not have the power to deny their employers the fruits of their labour. Unlike the civil rights protesters of the 1960s in the United States, they do not have the right to vote, even if that right was systematically denied for almost a century. Do they have some basis for organizing on the principles of self-help and self determination? Or is Geza Tessenyi naive? Must refugees rely on the humanitarianism and good will of their hosts to determine their destinies? Or would such reliance itself be naive?

What did the big powers do with the millions displaced and left as flotsam in DP camps after the Second World War? As the big powers debated who should be classified as Displaced Persons and who should be classified as refugees, and therefore eligible for resettlement, Jewish refugees, among millions of others, suffered years of further indignity following the horror of the holocaust. In this issue Göran Rystad describes the historical research being undertaken in Lund, Sweden into the motives and factors that determined state policy towards refugees, displaced persons and expellees after World War II. The preliminary results do not indicate that the lives of refugees and others can be entrusted solely to the state.

In a recent conference in Oxford considering and comparing refugee policies and results in Canada and Great Britain, Canada emerged as a creative innovator in programmes for resettling refugees while, at the same time, its new programme for deterring Convention refugee

Continued on page 3

Letters to the Editor

There were a couple of inaccuracies in your article in Refugee Vol 8, No. 1 (October 1988). It was the Tamils who arrived off the coast of Newfoundland and the Sikhs off Nova Scotia. Not the other way around.

About your comment, top p. 2 "...the Mulroney government was effusive in expressing its support for refugees and went beyond the call of duty (and, perhaps, prudence) in the speed with which ministerial permits were issued." The government had no choice: at the time there was a special programme in effect for all Tamils, who were not to be deported regardless of whether they were or were not refugees because Canada was not deporting Tamils to Sri Lanka (even if they came fro West Germany!). All Tamil arrivals until the abolishing of the B-1 list in February, 1987 were quickly issued with Minister's Permits.

Lisa Gilad
Institute of Social and Economic Research
Memorial University of Newfoundland

I am writing to let you know about my photodocumentary work with Cambodian refugees in Bronx, N.Y. and Amherst, MA. I would like organizations that are interested in the Cambodian refugee experience and active in refugee affairs to be aware that my photographs are available for exhibitions, publications and research purposes. The photodocumentary looks at the Cambodian refugee experience in this country not just as "new faces in melting pot America" (as the mass media so often does), but as a direct consequence of historical and political events that make them distinct from other newcomers.

Through my photographs I hope to create a historical and educational record of the experiences of a community of Holocaust survivors from the Pol Pot regime in Cambodia and the process of rebuilding their lives in the United States.

The project has received several awards and has been exhibited in New York and Massachusetts. Please contact me if you have any ideas about how my project can be used and if you would like to see slides of prints of this documentary for possible exhibition or publication. Please also feel free to pass my name along to any groups or individuals who you think would be interested in knowing about the project.

Leah Melnick
367 N. Pleasant St.
Amherst, MA 01002
USA
claimants within Canada came under critical examination. A brief resume of the proceedings of that conference is printed in this issue. The complete proceedings will be published in a book.

In the United States, in the face of the renewed policy of humane deterrence, some individuals have initiated a sanctuary movement for Latin American refugees in the United States. Charles Stasny reviews the book on Jim Corbett, a leader of the sanctuary movement.

Noreen Nimmons reviews another book of collected writings by Thai academics on the response of government powers, the small powers immediately affected and the policies of the First World resettlement countries. It becomes clear, that, because of the declining interest of resettlement countries, the countries of first asylum are using the Convention definition and procedures for adjudicating claims to deter new arrivals and provide a legal basis for repatriation or deportation.

There is a real dilemma. States assert their sovereignty by retaining control of who may enter a country, and, more fundamentally, who can become a member of that country. A state will not surrender such a right. When individuals throng the entry gates, such countries frequently resort to measures to halt or limit the thrust. The measures designed to protect refugees are used to limit the number of entrants.

We do not challenge the right of states to make such policies. We challenge Canadians to participate in making that policy using legal means in Canada to effect that policy, to challenge laws in the courts, and to use the law to demonstrate direct support for refugees through private sponsorship.

The distinction between humanitarian refugees, whom we select abroad under relaxed immigration criteria, and Convention refugees who claim status within Canada under a quasi-judicial procedure and a very restricted definition or a refugee, breaks down to some degree as countries of first asylum attempt to limit the arrivals of humanitarian refugees by using the convention definition; at the same time, countries of resettlement become countries of first asylum for large numbers of refugee claimants.

This means that the opportunity for private sponsorship becomes an opening for individual Canadian citizens to participate in the making of policy by legal direct action.

States have power. Refugees desperately want some power to control their own lives. Citizens concerned with refugees already have the power to help select groups of such refugees. Will they use the power they have when they are not stirred up emotionally by day after day of television footage on the desperate plight and suffering of the refugees?

Desperate Vietnamese refugees have signed their names in blood as a symbolic statement of solidarity with those who committed suicide and as a pledge that they will resist repatriation. A screened collage of such signatures appears on the front page.) Canadians can sign their names in ink to prevent the necessity for any such acts of desperation. As the Oxford papers recorded, the success of the Indochinese in Canada is a testament to resilience, strength and creativity when individuals are given back their dignity and offered the opportunity to make new lives for themselves.

Help restore power to these individuals by utilizing your power.

Howard Adelman, Editor