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Abstract
Research demonstrates the complex nature of supporting forced migrant populations; however, there is almost no research on volunteer experience of supporting forced migrants. This study explored the experiences of volunteer mentors in the United Kingdom. Eight participants were recruited from a single charitable organization. Data were collected using in-depth, semi-structured interviews, and verbatim transcripts were analyzed using Interpretative phenomenological analysis. Four superordinate themes emerged: “paralyzed by responsibility and powerlessness”; “weighty emotional fallout”; “navigating murky boundaries”; and “enriched with hope, joy, and inspiration.” Participants experienced a range of emotions as a result of their mentoring: from distress to inspiration. Findings suggest that focusing on achievable changes helps mentors. The mentoring relationship is hugely important to mentors but also requires careful navigation. The findings suggest that, whilst it is a fulfilling experience, support is required for volunteers mentoring forced migrants. The relative strengths and limitations of the study are considered. Theoretical implications and suggestions for organizations, clinical applications, and future research are provided.

Résumé
La relation de mentorat a une énorme importance pour les mentors mais demande aussi une navigation prudente. Les résultats indiquent que bien qu'il s'agisse d'une expérience enrichissante, un soutien pour les bénévoles qui agissent comme mentors auprès des migrants forcés est requis. Les forces et limites relatives de l'étude sont prises en compte. Nous abordons les implications théoriques de nos résultats et offrons des suggestions pour les organisations, le milieu clinique et la recherche future.

Il est bien documenté que les migrants forcés subissent fréquemment des événements traumatisants, y compris des difficultés de santé mentale associées à la traumatisation (Morgan et al., 2017). Un aperçu systématique de la littérature (Fazel et al., 2005) estima que 7%–17% des diagnostiqués PTSD dans les populations de réfugiés. Des taux élevés de co-morbilité avec d'autres difficultés de santé mentale, notamment la dépression, ont été trouvés. Cette estimate ne tient pas compte pour ceux qui n'ont pas accès aux services ou avec des difficultés non reconnues. Les professionnels de santé peuvent donc être confrontés à un large spectre de problèmes psychologiques liés à la prise en charge de personnes traumatisées (Century et al., 2007; Robertshaw et al., 2017).

Plusieurs modèles tentent d’expliquer les effets, y compris bénéfiques et défis, de travailler avec des populations traumatisées. Il est proposé que les réponses soient liées au mode de vie traumatique, tel que la perte de prédictibilité du monde et des croyances, se produisent (Barrington & Shakespeare-Finch, 2013; Ehlers & Clark, 2000), entraînant des expériences et des comportements similaires à ceux observés dans le PTSD (Lusk & Terrazas, 2015). Les changements psychologiques bénéfiques, y compris la perception de soi, les relations interpersonnelles, et les philosophies de la vie, peuvent également se produire (Tedeschi & Calhoun, 1996) pour ceux qui travaillent avec des populations traumatisées. L’incapacité à gérer ces expériences et les comportements de la vie quotidienne peut être un défi pour les professionnels de santé (Splevins et al., 2010). Des études récentes indiquent que les professionnels de santé qui soutiennent des migrantsforcés peuvent éprouver des sentiments de burnout et de compassionnelle, notamment lorsqu’ils ont une connaissance limitée du domaine ou les ressources sont insuffisantes (Splevins et al., 2010).

Les études conséquentes mettent en évidence l’importance de la gestion des ressources et des compétences liées à la gestion des difficultés émotionnelles. Individualisée coping strategies aid management of feeling shock and distress (Barrington & Shakespeare-Finch, 2013; Guhan & Liebling-Kalifani, 2011; Splevins et al., 2010). Cependant, la supervision peut être utile pour donner un sens aux expériences traumatique.

Par ailleurs, il est possible que l’exploration des expériences traumatiques et les défis liés à la gestion des ressources puissent être identifiés. Par exemple, les interprètes ont constaté des problèmes liés à la gestion des ressources et la gestion de l’affaire (Splevins et al., 2010). Cependant, les interprètes ont également indiqué des défis plus difficiles à gérer parce que la population avait des besoins spécifiques (Century et al., 2007). Cependant, l’exploration explicite de la façon et la raison...
boundary maintenance was challenging for counsellors was omitted from the study.

The benefits to working with forced migrants are also reported. Therapists described their work as meaningful and rewarding, some reflecting existentiality and personal circumstances (Apostolidou, 2016a; Schweitzer et al., 2015). They spoke of feeling wiser, "richer," or "deeper," and more able to live in the moment because of their work. Interpreters also reported improvement in personal qualities and life outlook (Spèvins et al., 2010). Not-for-profit staff felt their work reinforced their beliefs and values and gained enjoyment from seeing clients "grow" (Guhan & Liebling-Kalifani, 2011), although staff roles were combined in the study, meaning specific associated roles cannot be identified. These benefits were sometimes conceptualized as "vicarious post-traumatic growth" or "vicarious resilience" (Barrington & Shakespeare-Finch, 2014), and these vicarious responses were described as "transformative" for trauma therapists (Hernandez-Wolfe et al., 2015).

**Volunteer Experiences in Supporting Forced Migrants**

Volunteers are commonly involved in supporting forced migrants in the United Kingdom; however, they seldom have professional experience and training to draw upon and may not be offered supervision (Wren, 2007). Wren raises concerns about the reactive nature of service provision and large responsibility placed on voluntary and charitable sectors to meet needs unmet by statutory services. Furthermore, research with 25 volunteer organizations offering befriending programs for refugees in Australia, Canada, England, and the United States indicates that organizations feel their volunteers can become overwhelmed by refugees’ complex needs and traumatic experiences (Behnia, 2007). Given these factors and the findings regarding professionals’ responses to hearing forced migrants’ stories, and the reference to the value of supervision, it is therefore important to consider the experiences of volunteers supporting forced migrants.

Only one study regarding volunteers was identified. Jones and Williamson (2014) sought to explore the roles, motivations, and experiences of eight volunteers working in Glasgow with forced migrants using a framework analysis. Some described their relationship with those they supported as a friendship and named these relationships as the most positive aspect of their work. Participants reported finding it particularly stressful and upsetting when those they supported were refused asylum or made destitute. Boundaries and directly and indirectly witnessing traumatic events were identified as a struggle; however, this was not explored. All participants placed value on organizational support, but most felt little was available—partly as the result of resource and funding limitations. Whilst this study provides some understanding of volunteers’ experiences, it is brief and acknowledges the limited insight it provides, emphasizing the need for further research.

In summary, studies demonstrate the complex nature of experiences in working with forced migrant populations. Although several studies seek to document and explore the experiences of professionals, there is almost no research about volunteers’ experiences. Research has highlighted the challenge in listening to the traumatic accounts and stories of forced migrants, the importance of training and supervision, and difficulties with boundary maintenance. Although volunteers may have access to less support for their own needs than employed professionals do, they are often relied upon to support forced migrants in the United Kingdom. This study therefore aims to explore the experiences of volunteer mentors supporting forced migrants in the United Kingdom.

**Research Question**

Since this study is discovery orientated, the study research question is broad and open-ended: What are the experiences of volunteers supporting forced migrants in the United Kingdom?

A secondary question, given professionals’ experiences of hearing forced migrants’ stories, is: How do volunteers make sense of the stories they hear in their roles?

**Method**

**Design**

A qualitative design employing individual semi-structured interviews and interpretative phenomenological analysis (IPA) was used to generate in-depth accounts of experience (Smith et al., 2009). IPA is a popular and well-used research method, frequently utilized within psychology when addressing phenomenological research questions. Moreover, IPA is a recognized research method for exploring refugee experience from a phenomenological perspective (e.g., Rosbrook & Schweitzer, 2010; Schweitzer & Steele, 2008).

IPA was considered appropriate, given the lack of prior research and interest in exploring individuals’ lived experiences of this phenomenon within its context (Pietkiewicz & Smith, 2014). Shinebourne (2011) details the theoretical underpinnings of IPA and outlines how these are informed by Heidegger, Merlau-Ponty, and Sartre’s phenomenological and existential works. There is not an overall agreement between different philosophers and researchers on the matter of phenomenology; however, the authors of this article take an epistemological and ontological position grounded in critical-realism and contextualism (Bryman, 2012). This
position is most consistent with IPA, thus making it the most appropriate methodology to explore the research questions in this study.

Ethical approval was obtained from the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee at the University of East Anglia.

Participants
Participants were eight volunteer mentors recruited from a single charitable organization in the east of England, who typically met their mentees once a week for 90 minutes. Their role was to support mentees in reaching individualized, collaboratively set goals. Recruitment was purposive to select a sample most relevant to the research question (Willig, 2013). In accordance with the philosophical underpinnings of IPA, a homogenous sample were recruited who were current volunteer mentors (active within the last six months), mentoring for more than six months, over the age of 18, and English speaking. Prospective participants were excluded if they were a qualified professional in a relevant field (such as social work, counselling, psychological therapies, or mental health) or had lived experience of forced migration, since this would change the sense-making of their volunteer experiences. Further demographic information was not collected in the interest of preserving confidentiality, because the charitable organisation was small. A reflexive lens was used throughout, in particular to consider the potential influence of assumptions in developing the research question, topic guide, and recruitment.

Materials
A semi-structured interview guide was developed with reference to previous research and in consultation with the organization (Jones & Williamson, 2014). The interview guide was refined through a pilot interview. In line with the study aims, questions were open ended and moved from description to interpretation and reflection (e.g., “Tell me a bit about what it is like to hear the stories of the people you work with” to “How do you make sense of the stories you hear?” and “What changes as a result of the stories you hear?”). The guide was applied flexibly to allow exploration of participants’ topics naturally as they occurred (Smith et al., 2009).

Procedure
Informed consent was gained before participants entered the study. Participants were advised they could withdraw, in accordance with the British Psychological Society Code of Human Research Ethics (BPS, 2014), for up to four weeks post-interview without needing to give a reason and without repercussion. It was not possible for participants to withdraw after this time frame because transcription and analysis had begun, and this was clearly explained to participants before they gave their consent. They were told that confidentiality would be maintained wherever possible, excepting concerns about safety. Participants were offered a choice of interview settings; all selected the University of East Anglia. Interviews were audio-recorded and lasted between 47 and 77 minutes. All data were transferred and stored securely. Although the interview was designed to be benign, participants were advised they could pause or end the interview at any time, and the interviewer, a trainee clinical psychologist, monitored distress throughout. Debrief information signposted participants to support resources.

Analysis
Interpretative phenomenological analysis aims to explore how individuals make sense of a specific experience from their own perspective, through the production of linguistically and interpretatively rich contextualized accounts. IPA’s theoretical underpinnings posit that an interpretive process be used to access the meanings an individual ascribes to events. Therefore, IPA acknowledges the “double hermeneutic,” whereby researchers use their own understandings to interpret the participants’ understandings of the phenomenon being studied (Smith et al., 2009).

The transcribed interviews were analyzed by the first author following the steps advocated by Smith et al. (2009). A reflective journal was used throughout to identify and “bracket off” the first author’s initial impressions and reflections. Transcripts were read and reread while making initial notes. Descriptive, linguistic, and conceptual codes were identified within each transcript, which was then read again in an iterative process. Emergent themes, identified from initial notes, were clustered into groups forming a hierarchy of themes. Not all emergent themes were utilized, and rationale for exclusion was recorded. Analytic dilemmas, decisions, and rationale were recorded to ensure transparency. After the hierarchy of themes was developed, the transcript was referred to, to ensure the themes were plausible. This process was repeated for the subsequent transcripts, and, after all transcripts had been analyzed, themes for each were brought together to look for overarching themes. Supervision was utilized to affirm the plausibility of codes and themes during the analytic process.

Results
The analysis generated four overall superordinate themes present in at least half of the participants’ superordinate themes. These overall superordinate themes comprised a further 10 subordinate themes that emerged from participants’ superordinate and subordinate themes developed from the
transcripts. The appendix, which illustrates the structure of the themes and their relationships to the individual accounts, may be downloaded from the Refuge website.

Each superordinate theme will be discussed in detail, illustrated by individual participant accounts with verbatim extracts to explore the individuality across participants. In some instances, related participant experiences are also summarized. Within this section potentially identifiable information has been replaced. Pseudonyms have been used to protect participant confidentiality.

**Theme 1: Paralyzed by Responsibility and Powerlessness**

Mentors felt an overwhelming sense of responsibility for their mentees, coupled with powerlessness. They heard about their mentees’ experiences of settling and living in the United Kingdom, their hopes and daily challenges. They also heard about mentees’ worries about situations such as their asylum status or separation from their families. Mentors felt a strong desire to improve things for their mentees and to alleviate their distress and discomfort, often driven by their personal values, sense of morality and justice. Essie conveyed a sense of despair of being unable to do more for her mentee, and her inability to influence certain situations.

**ESSIE.** There’s other times where I walk away thinking, “Oh my God, I can’t do a thing to help this person,” and “I wish I could do more,” and you know just sort of concerned about the lack of power that we have perhaps to influence things.

Roy spoke of experiencing powerful emotional reactions to situations in which he felt fearful and responsible for his mentee.

**ROY.** It’s quite anxiety provoking at times, like you’d be worried about him, or we’ve gotten into this mode where he’ll come up and he’ll go, “Big problem, big problem,” and my heart stops and I go, “What’s going on? Is he involved with the police, is he getting kicked out, is he going to be homeless?”

Here, Roy’s phrase “my heart stops” creates an evocative moment denoting panic. Roy lists possible problematic situations in quick succession, suggesting tumbling thoughts. He seems to be questioning how he is going to help his mentee and also indicates that this is a common occurrence—“we’ve gotten into this mode”—which adds to the sense of powerlessness.

Mentors often felt pressured by this simultaneous need and inability to “rescue” their mentees. Tyrone used the phrase “How on earth?” to illustrate his hopelessness and inability to respond to a difficult situation. Jeff also questioned how mentors are supposed to help and highlighted the pressure he feels.

**JEFF.** How does the mentor who may not have ever done that before … you know, got no clue how it works … okay using the internet you can spend an hour or so trying to find out how to do it, but that time they’ve got into arrears … so you can rescue them once they’ve got into trouble, yes, by finding out, but actually there’s no I have to say manual of how you how someone needs to fit into how things work—not society, but how things work in the UK.

Often external forces contributed to this sense of powerlessness. Roy and Jeff both spoke about feeling frustrated and powerless when interacting and liaising with complex systems such as housing authorities, councils, and financial authorities on behalf of their mentees. For Tyrone, the support his mentee was getting from his school was a source of discontent.

**TYRONE.** It’s just like his situation and how like there aren’t enough resources for him and he’s still not getting enough time like the school he’s going to.

When participants were able to identify something they could do to help, this enabled them to remain motivated and encouraged. There was a common attitude of “as long as I’m doing something to help, I’m making a worthwhile difference,” as illustrated by Marion.

**MARION.** You feel uncomfortable because you don’t … you kind of initially want to solve the problem but you can’t. You can’t solve anything for them so you’re sort of I mean, I feel then just sort of forced to just go … just be there for them, just be present and don’t panic, and go, “Oh God! ok, what can I say, what’s going to make them feel better?” You know, like … what can we do now to distract or something and yeah … so that’s been my overall feeling like … when it is just to like really show him that you’re there and like you listen to them.

Marion identifies the unpleasant feelings she experiences from being unable to help as much as she would like. Her descriptions “you can’t solve anything for them” and “forced to just” evoke a sense of helplessness and being unable to control the situation or alleviate the problem. However, Marion then identifies that just “being with” her mentee is helpful, and her focus changes from solving the problem to supporting her mentee emotionally, “show him that you’re there.”

---

2. See appendix (separate file), [http://doi.org/10.25071/1920-7336.40708](http://doi.org/10.25071/1920-7336.40708)
Theme 2: Weighty Emotional Fallout

The emotional toll for mentors was sometimes overwhelming, and they conveyed a sense of heaviness. Participants consistently described experiencing personal ongoing distress, and occasionally horror at what they heard. Participants linked this to empathizing with and hearing about mentees’ situations, both past and present, and also fears for their mentee's future. One mentor voiced how experiencing and being exposed to constant distress had led to “emotional fatigue.”

Marion appeared to be tired during the interview. She struggled to form coherent sentences—"lose touch with the … the emotion because it's … you're just confronted with it as an everyday thing, and so you kind of go, "Oh well, that's just … I can't feel that emotion every day.” It'll be tiring to constantly be reminding myself of how you can feel after certain like moments of actually imagining, you know, what a horrible experience that people have gone through.

In this extract Lana uses a goal-orientated metaphor, which denotes her having a job to do and needing to take a different stance to the mentee in order to be helpful. The references “you've gone” and “both be lost” indicate high stakes and danger in this scenario, and a finality to potential consequences. She is located as the more powerful and responsible individual in the pit metaphor, in contrast to the beginning of the extract where she states that you can only help people so far. However, she is at risk of being in the pit with them, which suggests a merging of selves and boundaries and of being “brought down.” This provides a potential link to the theme of “navigating murky boundaries.” These contrasts and the hypothesized positions of being in the pit or being out of the pit convey the dynamic of power and powerlessness, which seems to permeate her experience, providing a link to the theme of “paralyzed by responsibility and powerlessness.” Her “distancing” in the metaphor allows her to avoid becoming overwhelmed and “lost” in response to the emotionality of the situation.

Some mentors appeared to neglect their own emotional needs. Several suggested they ought to be able to cope because they “had it easier” than their mentees. Tyrone commented that he would want any additional emotional support to go to mentees before mentors, and Vicki described prioritizing her mentee's feelings over her own. However, mentors also consistently described needing emotional support. Roy spoke of feeling “disconnected” from the voluntary organization and isolated in his mentoring role. Essie spoke about her hopes the interview might offer a space to help process her feelings.

Essie shares her thinking prior to the interview itself, indicating that this was an issue she was already considering, and perhaps indicating she was hoping that the space to talk might help her make sense of her feelings. She suggests that there isn’t a space for her or others to talk through their feelings and “psychological” things, conveying a sense of lack of support. Her use of formal language could suggest she perceives this issue to be serious. Furthermore, some mentors commented they would not begin a subsequent mentorship—perhaps symbolizing the draining nature of their experience and the lack of support available to them.

Theme 3: Navigating Murky Boundaries

Mentors often found it hard to decide where to place boundaries and were drawn to bend boundaries by their care and
concern for mentees. For some (Essie and Roy) this was experienced as a gradual blurring that “crept in.” For Jeff the desire to help was so strong he chose to forego “the rules” in order to help his mentee.

**Jeff.** You think bloody hell something will happen here you know … so I did I guess I broke some of the mentoring rules, so I got … I leant him some sleeping bags, blow-up mattresses, camping chairs, you know, just to give them somewhere to sleep and sit yes … and then trying to help him hunt up some furniture.

Jeff uses profanity to emphasize the strength of his feelings in relation to the situation. The phrase “something will happen here” suggests Jeff had fears for his mentee in the event he did not intervene, and this drove him to act. Here a link to the theme “paralyzed by responsibility and powerlessness” is seen through Jeff’s sense of responsibility to his mentee. Rather than remaining powerless, Jeff chooses to act, regardless of “the rules.”

Some participants described difficulties balancing friendship and boundaries, with most describing the relationship as a type of friendship. Participants experienced this developing naturally, and some relaxed the boundaries of their relationship, becoming involved with their mentee’s social circle (or vice versa) and spending time together informally.

**Marion.** I just can’t do that with him in the same way, so I’m just going to make my own judgments on like where … like how … like what the … because he needed like he … he’s just such a social person, like he loved meeting my [relation], and like we just we were out, and it was like summertime, and so yeah I sort of felt like I could be a bit more free with it and just not so … yeah I guess not sort of sectioning it off as meeting someone who needed the special help but just sort of like another human being who enjoyed … in his case at least he enjoys meeting new people, and he’s just very sort of outgoing and so it’s kind of like cool—OK, then I can just be a friend.

Marion repeatedly emphasizes the benefit of relaxing boundaries for her mentee, also implying this was necessary to maintain the relationship. Here she may be justifying her decision to relax the boundaries, feeling that others may not approve (elsewhere she states this is discouraged). The use of the phrases “with him” and “my own judgments” suggests Marion sees appropriate boundaries as shifting, rather than being static.

Tonya illustrated feeling tension between the friendship and mentoring role, referencing her reluctance to share information in group supervision and feeling “bad,” perhaps guilty, for doing so. She references the trust her mentee has placed in her and uses the phrase “grassed on” (reporting someone to an authority figure), which has particularly negative connotations concerning betraying loyalty.

**Tonya.** I’m quite close to her as well, so I would be like we are friends I think … I felt bad for even saying something. I don’t know whether you remember, I felt like I’d grassed on her in a way about what had happened … I would feel like she would not like it I was talking about certain things in front of people because we do meet and she does trust me and I trust her so we … I wouldn’t do that to her anyway, so I find that challenging definitely.

The accounts suggest there is an ongoing tension, judgment, and negotiation regarding boundaries, which contribute to mentors’ abilities to carry out their role. There was a sense of boundaries being the mentor’s own responsibility, rather than a responsibility shared with the organization.

**Theme 4: Enriched with Hope, Joy, and Inspiration**

Despite the challenges, mentors found the experience inspiring, fulfilling, and joyful. Most participants referred to their mentees as either inspirational or amazing. Often this was seen as a result of witnessing resilience or courage and perseverance. Tyrone described moments of “pure happiness,” and Roy spoke of feeling his “hope in humanity” had increased. Roy also repeatedly used the word “enriched” to indicate the desirable influence his mentoring had on him. The connection mentors had with their mentees seemed to give many mentors a sense of enjoyment, meaning, and accomplishment. Lana reflected on how she had not expected this two-way process.

**Lana.** I was surprised about was the amount of appreciation that I’ve felt, you know, from meeting him and what I get out of it, you know, because before it was like, I’ll go and help them, you know, the people that need my help, whereas actually like every time I go I come back feeling so much more, you know, joyful and like … yes like just like wow, you know.

Similarly, Jeff spoke of feeling “rewarded” through his role, stating that this was what enabled him to continue with it. Tonya spoke about feeling that she had gained perspective on life.

**Tonya.** I just think it’s amazing. I just look at her and think you’re so strong and it is inspirational to think that the impact that someone can have on you and I don’t even know … I don’t even know what happened to her and she’s so positive and happy and always down for a laugh, and we always have a good time together, and I’m always happy to see her. I just think it makes
you appreciate her as a person and puts it into perspective why so many people have problems that don’t seem significant.

Here Tonya speaks quickly and confidently, using “so” and “always” to further emphasize her belief in her statements. She also conveys a sense of warmth, admiration, and respect for her mentee, sharing that she has shaped her perspectives despite not knowing the details of her journey to the United Kingdom.

Finally, some mentors felt improved in their outlook and ability to empathize with others, as described by Vicki.

VICKI. It’s beneficial to yourself you get to kind of reflect on yourself and how you treat people … you learn how to listen and I think it helps you like treat people better as well … friends and family and that kind of thing.

Marion also spoke about becoming more empathetic and sensitive to others’ experiences, as did Tyrone. This theme was often revisited by participants in their closing statements. It seemed they felt the inspiration, appreciation, and growth they gained was an important part of their experience, which they wanted to encapsulate in a “take-home message” to the researcher. Furthermore, it seemed these experiences offered mentors some protection against the more difficult aspects of mentoring, particularly those described in the theme “weighty emotional fallout.”

Discussion

The literature has explored the experiences of professionals working with forced migrants, identifying this as a complex phenomenon and emphasizing challenges in listening to accounts of trauma and the importance of support and supervision. Given the reliance by many charitable organizations on volunteers to support forced migrants, often without regular support structures, this study aimed to explore volunteers’ experiences of hearing forced migrants’ stories. Rather than hearing substantial, repeated accounts of mentees’ journeying and historical trauma, volunteer mentors heard snippets over time and most commonly heard stories of post-migratory lives and fears for the future. Participants focused largely on the emotional implications of hearing these stories, perhaps indicating the emotiveness of their experience.

Theme one, “paralyzed by responsibility and powerlessness,” encapsulated mentors’ simultaneous feelings of responsibility and helplessness. Participants felt ineffective to help their mentees and sometimes overwhelmed. Literature on volunteers, counsellors, and specialist practitioners identifies a similar sense of helplessness in relation to effecting change, linking this to burnout (e.g., Apostolidou, 2016a). Experiences of dualistic hope and hopelessness were described by refugee centre staff, interpreters, and mental health professionals working with forced migrants (e.g., Barrington & Shakespeare-Finch, 2014), which links this theme to the final theme, “enriched in hope and joy” in the current study. To sustain motivation and well-being, some participants focused on the changes they were able to make or had made. This could be considered a coping strategy that protects mentors against overwhelming feelings of powerlessness or helplessness. Other volunteers supporting forced migrants have named seeing successful outcomes as positive, fulfilling, and motivational (Jones & Williamson, 2014).

Theme two, “weighty emotional fallout,” explored the emotional implications of mentors’ ongoing distress, which often involved fearing what might happen to their mentee. Similarly, volunteers interviewed by Jones and Williamson (2014) experienced distress from worrying about asylum decisions and possibilities of destitution. Strong feelings of empathy and distress were experienced by counsellors and interpreters working with forced migrants (Century et al., 2007; Splevins et al., 2010). Similarly, voluntary organizations offering befriending programs have reported instances of volunteers being overwhelmed by refugees’ complex needs and “anguishing situations” where they feel helpless and powerless (Behnia, 2007). Behnia identifies situations where volunteers can do little to help and find the complicated legal aspects of helping as contributing to this, which was also evident in the mentors’ experiences in the present study. However, feelings of shock and trauma were not generally experienced by the mentors in this study, in contrast to previous research (Behnia, 2007; Splevins et al., 2010). This difference could be due to lesser exposure to traumatic materials for the participants in the present study.

Some mentors articulated refraining from connecting too much with mentees’ emotional experiences. This was conceptualized as a self-protecting strategy to reduce likelihood of burnout, which also enabled mentors to remain compassionate and efficiently support their mentees. Ludick and Figley (2017) pose that detachment is a common self-care strategy in those exposed to trauma to retain resilience and compassion. Conversely, there is some suggestion that connecting with others and with those who are traumatized aids to prevent burnout in highly emotive and distressing situations, though this research does not specifically concern supporting forced migrants (Kearney et al., 2009). Although there seemed to be conflicting positions for mentors in taking care of their own emotions, there was a strong and consistent sense that they need emotional support from their organization. This has been identified as particularly important for mental health professionals working with
forced migrants (Apostolidou, 2016a; Barrington & Shakespeare-Finch, 2014).

Theme three, “navigating murky boundaries,” considered how mentors weighed where to place boundaries and how boundaries became eroded. This finding adds to the literature that documents the difficulties in negotiating appropriate boundaries with forced migrants (Century et al., 2007; Jones & Williamson, 2014; Splevins et al., 2010). Some mentors chose to forego boundaries in order to help their mentees and to counteract feelings of powerlessness. Counsellors have also described choosing to “step outside of” boundaries out of feelings of impotency and a need to help forced migrants in some situations (Century et al., 2007). In both instances this seems to be linked to feelings of moral imperative to help others in dire or unjust situations.

In the current study, mentors usually considered themselves becoming friends of their mentees, yet recognized boundaries were needed. Not only do participants support a population with specialist needs, but the nature of their role requires the formation of a strong relationship (Ragins et al., 2000). Fell and Fell (2014) detail the difference between befriending and friendship. They discuss how befriending involves being in a more powerful position and helping another, whereas friendship is defined as a mutually beneficial relationship. However, aspects of both are relevant for mentors. Mentors have a position of relative power and are supporting mentees; however, they also see the relationship as a friendship from which they too are benefiting. Therefore, it is logical that confusion and difficulties in implementing boundaries may arise. The literature suggests that good supervision and training is integral to reducing this difficulty for those supporting forced migrants (e.g., Apostolidou, 2016b). Hoad (2002) argues that organizations must be responsible for supporting volunteers in creating and maintaining consistent boundaries through ongoing supervision. Gilbert (2001) specifically advocates the use of reflective practice and supervision in considering boundaries and obligations to service users in professional roles. Furthermore, supervision has been shown to reduce stress and burnout when working within emotionally challenging settings (Bradshaw et al., 2007; Edwards et al., 2000).

Theme four, “enriched with hope, joy, and inspiration,” encompassed the energizing and hopeful aspects of mentors’ experiences. Many related this aspect of their experience to the connections they enjoyed with their mentees. Other research with volunteers supporting forced migrants cites the relationship as the most positive aspect of their work (Jones & Williamson, 2014). The relationship experience was identified as a superordinate theme in a study of therapists’ experience (Schweitzer et al., 2015). Moreover, compassion and relating to forced migrants was interpreted as a protective factor against vicarious trauma for refugee centre staff (Guhan & Liebling-Kalifani, 2011). This aspect of the mentors’ experience may therefore potentially have benefits in relation to managing the distress they also experienced.

Mentors spoke passionately about feeling inspired and fulfilled, and having grown in their appreciation for life and their empathy for others. They conveyed a sense of renewal and enrichment through their experiences and often through witnessing resilience from their mentees, which seemed to positively influence the way they saw their lives. These experiences are similar to those of others who work with or support forced migrants. Interpreters have also described a sense of joy, admiration, reward, and aspirations to live deeper, more meaningful lives from working with forced migrants (Splevins et al., 2010). Trauma therapists have reported gains in empathy, compassion, tolerance, and sensitivity, and appreciation of life (Arnold, 2005). Similarly, mental health professionals, therapists, and counsellors working specifically with forced migrants report a variety of enriching changes, including feelings of reward, appreciation, satisfaction, privilege, change in life philosophy, and sense of purpose (e.g., Century et al., 2007). Hernandez-Wolfe and colleagues (2015) describe the interaction between distress and these responses as “profound intertwined experiences of pain, hope and joy.” In the current study these enriching experiences appeared to be highly important to the well-being of mentors and to their continuation in their roles. Despite formally taking only 90 minutes a week of mentors’ time, the diverse and deep emotional responses explored here seem to suggest that the mentoring experience has important meaning and significance for mentors, and potentially has implications that influence their lives more widely.

Implications

This study has a range of implications for theory, service design, and clinical application. Theoretically, this research has provided an initial understanding of what voluntarily mentoring forced migrants in the United Kingdom is like. It has highlighted emotional challenges, mentors’ sense of responsibility, and the importance of feeling efficacious. Although participants experienced powerlessness and distress, they also felt hopeful, encouraged, and fulfilled by their mentoring.

Organizations in the United Kingdom using volunteer mentors to support forced migrants may need to attend particularly to trauma, self-care, and boundaries in training, even when roles do not involve direct trauma work. Given the distress and dilemmas experienced by participants, specialist supervision with a validating, emotional focus should be provided wherever possible. Support from psychologists or other professionals proficient in supporting...
those working with traumatized populations may be advantageous. It is recognized that organizations may be charitable, and resources may be limited; however, investing in these areas might aid sustainability by improving volunteer retention, resilience, and efficiency. Organizations may wish to consider how to monitor volunteer well-being and create non-stigmatizing avenues for those struggling.

Conclusion

This study explores a novel research area using methodology particularly suited to this end. It provides greater understanding of the commonalities of experience of regularly voluntarily mentoring forced migrants in the United Kingdom, attempting to give an idiographic and interpretive account. The epistemological approach is consistent throughout, and steps have been taken to strengthen the quality of the approach, including the use of a pilot, reflective journal, and supervision.

There are many possible areas for further research. This could investigate further the scope of distress experienced in this population and consider how to monitor and improve well-being. Since this study has highlighted the importance of the mentoring relationship and of supervision, research into what facilitates a “good” mentoring relationship, or how mentors can manage a difficult relationship, would be appropriate. Similarly, research into how supervision is experienced, or evaluating supervision for this population, is highly important. Research could also explore the experiences of volunteers with different roles, or those located in different organizations and geographies.
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