In March 1991 the Albanian exodus to Italy involved over 20,000 refugees, who arrived in precarious and rotten boats. They waited in the rain and wind in the bay of Brindisi to be allowed to stay, but the Italian government was cynically indifferent to them.

In August 1991 desperate Albanians jumped into the sea from a crowded ship, the Valona, as it came into Bari harbour. But this time, the Italian government’s reaction, which may have been condoned by other European states, went far beyond indifference. These people were all sent back. Since then the Adriatic Sea, which divides Albania from Italy, is guarded day and night. As Ruotolo (1992) said, “the sea as a place of freedom or transit towards freedom has become inaccessible for Albanians.”

Italian patrol vessels, military ships and coastguards try to prevent any flight. Albanian harbours are watched by soldiers. Still, illegal immigrants manage to reach Italy, as on July 7 when a boat with 109 men, women and children on board tried to force its way into Italian waters. All of them were sent back.

It seems paradoxical that when the Albanian government wants to join the Western democratic world, the latter requests that Albanian citizens be restricted inside the country and even shot if they attempt to get out.

**Reasons for the Flight**

Albania. It is certainly difficult to generalize, but refugees’ statements to journalists at the time of their arrival and comments made during the indepth interviews we conducted express this sense of claustrophobia and wish to enjoy a way of life known only through Italian television. We did not encounter the will to fight for change in Albania and to construct a new country during any of the interviews conducted between November and December 1991. Only in some very recent interviews conducted in May 1992 was the will to go back to Albania expressed.

Albania’s recent history partly explains these attitudes. This country seems to be suspended in time (Ferraris 1991). It was isolated from the rest of the world by Enver Hodja’s dream of creating a purely autarchical socialist country. Enver Hodja, who was the hero of the Resistance against the Germans and Italians, as well as the leader of the Party of Work (the name of the Communist Party in Albania), broke relations with Tito and turned to Stalin. Later he refused to “de-Stalinize,” and took the China’s side against the Russians. China was Albania’s main partner until 1978 when it stopped providing military and economic aid because of ideological and political conflicts. Albania was always portrayed as the “bright spot” of world socialism in official propaganda. One refugee interviewed in the study commented:

> I thought that Yugoslavia was not a Communist country any more. They told us that it was Communist until 1948, then it changed its way because it was no longer in agreement with Enver Hodja. Until 1960 we were friends with Russia, then they told us that Russia had also changed its way. Hodja was friends with China. Then when Mao died, that finished too. And they told us that we were the only bright spot.

This change of ideological and trade partners had a devastating effect on the country’s already weak economy. The results were outdated industries and technology, poor agriculture, hard working conditions, no contacts with the rest of the world and widespread poverty. The government’s attempt to remain ideologically pure did not succeed either. Despite the propaganda, young people were sceptical of socialist principles and would rather have consumer goods.

The regime’s crisis began after Enver Hodja’s death on April 11, 1985, but it only became evident five years later. In 1985 Ramiz Alia became the new Secretary of the Party of Work and began modest liberalization, a kind of “Albanian Spring,” following the
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In March 1991 the Italian government received 26,000 refugees and found them places to stay. Only one boat went back to Albania. Although Albanian refugees are beginning to realize that the myth of Italy does not correspond to reality, they have nowhere else to go. Italy is the closest European country and a gate to Europe, both in a metaphorical and geographic sense. As one refugee said, “I would have liked to go to Germany, but I could not get a visa—the embassy was always closed. There was no choice but to emigrate to Italy or to Greece. I wanted to go to Germany. I was in Austria to study and I speak the language.”

So the exodus continued between March and August 1991. Most of the rafts were stopped and people were sent back—often in a harsh way—after an exhausting trip (D’Angelis 1992). In August 1991 there was another mass exodus. This time, the myth of Italy was definitely shattered. Albanians understood that they could be sent back in the most humiliating way. Still, there were new attempts to leave in July 1992. As one refugee explained, “There is nothing to do in Albania, at least for twenty years.” “How many years will go by before Albania becomes rich, democratic and prosperous? We will be old and Europe will always be better,” said another Albanian refugee. Western aid is not sufficient. It is just enough to enable the country to survive. The victory of Sali Berisha’s Democratic Party has not performed any miracles. The West did not give any more aid just because the Democratic Party won the election.

Attitudes of the Italian Government and the Italian Population to the Albanian Exodus

If in March the Italian government adopted a cynical and neglectful attitude, in August it used a “mixture of force and astuteness” (Rusconi 1991) to send back the Albanians, which provoked shame among the Italians. Paolo Giuntella (1991) wrote, “It is one of the most shameful pages of our recent history.” No real effort was made to communicate...
with the Albanians or to analyse Italian public opinion. In fact, the media expressed hardly any opposition to receiving the refugees. Only one newspaper, Il Manifesto, suggested that perhaps receiving 20,000 or even 40,000 people was not so dramatic, considering what other countries had done (Germany with East Germans and Turkey and Iran with Kurds). For the rest of the press, it was more or less clear that the arrival of so many people would provoke crises in social services, the labour market and everyday life.

In February 1990 Law 39 (known as the Martelli Law), which sets new procedures for immigration, was adopted. One generous provision of this law allowed 223,000 illegal immigrants, mainly from Third World countries, to obtain residence and work permits. The law also established procedures for regulating immigration in the future. The immigrant intake for 1991 was set at zero.

The mass arrival of Albanians forced the government to review this plan, and in March Albanians received temporary permits that were valid until July 15, 1991. If they found jobs or vocational training courses by then, the permits would become permanent in agreement with the provisions of the Martelli Law.

In April the Italian government established a new Ministry of Emigration and Immigration, headed by Margherita Boniver, a socialist deputy. On May 11, Margherita Boniver was also designated an "Extraordinary Commissary for the Albanian Emergency," replacing the former Minister for Civil Protection.

In early March, it was decided to disperse Albanians throughout the country instead of keeping them in Puglia. In May there were still over 9,000 Albanians in the Puglia, so Margherita Boniver had to accelerate their resettlement in other regions. The attitudes of local authorities in these regions varied. Some were generous and did everything to find lodging and work for Albanians, while others refused to take them.

Albanians lived under the threat of expulsion. Eventually, their temporary status was extended to July 31 and finally to March 1992, but fear of being sent back will push many Albanians to become illegal immigrants in order to avoid restrictions.

At the end of October 1991, Margherita Boniver announced that among 24,157 Albanians, 645 obtained political refugee status (17,718 had solicited it); 2,715 were sent back; 315 were expelled; 9,452 found jobs; 711 found vocational training courses; and approximately 8,000 had not yet found jobs. The rest became illegal immigrants. Although the Minister of Emigration and

"It is so close! We would go for a weekend and come back and work here. But if we go, we cannot get out."

Immigration was pleased with the results, she did not wish to accept more Albanians. In fact, she approved of the treatment Albanians received in August and contended:

"We were able to respond quickly to the dramatic events of last August. In the meantime, political conditions in Albania changed as a democratic government was formed, which gave an opportunity to all the main political forces to participate. By repatriating 17,476 Albanians from August 8-13, and another 3,400 (including 700 soldiers) on August 17, we were able to show respect to Law 39/90." (Boniver 1991).

What Boniver refers to as “respect to Law 39/90” translated into terrible images of Albanians trapped in a stadium and being thrown sandwiches, as if they were animals. Many were taken away in the middle of the night. They included children who found Italian families ready to take them. Shameful as these memories are to many Italians, nevertheless even the public failed to show as much hospitality to the Albanians in August as they did in March.

Colafato (1991) explains this difference by relating the Albanians’ arrival in March to the Gulf War. After the trauma of a horrible war, the public expressed an “after-war solidarity.” In August, the situation was different. The impending war in Yugoslavia produced much anxiety, since it promised to provoke mass flights. Furthermore, difficulties created by the first exodus reduced solidarity to a minimum. Still, Colafato (1991) insists, present socio-cultural processes in Italian society leave room for attitudes of solidarity, but tend much more towards defending individual welfare and particular interests.

A Sea and a Prison

"I left Albania for personal reasons. I like the Communist theory—no rich, no poor—I like it, but I didn’t like my town. I wanted to see the world and to cross the sea," said a young Albanian from Durrës, who arrived in Italy in March 1991 and who is now living in Portocannone, Molise, a small Italian-Albanian village. His case is certainly exceptional: in our thirty-four interviews, he is the only one who considers himself a Communist and is favourable to Communist theory. Still, his case is interesting: he wanted to travel, to see the world, to cross the sea he had seen since his childhood. To do so, he had to get in a crowded boat, risk his life at sea and be humiliated by the Italian government. Other refugees told us that they would go back to Albania every month if they could. "It is so close! We would go for a weekend and come back and work here. But if we go, we cannot get out."

On September 4, 1991, the Italian government, in agreement with the Albanian government, decided to create the XXII Navy Group to prevent another mass exodus. Two coastguard patrol vessels (vedettes) stay in Durrës harbour. There is also an Italian ship and Italian headquarters. One hundred Italian soldiers watch the Albanian Sea. The XXII Navy Group works with Albanian ships. Since August, the Albanian authorities have required ships to anchor in roadstead to prevent exodus. The Italian vedettes patrol 300 km of the Albanian coastline. The Albanian soldiers control the harbours. On July 7, 1992 10,000 Albanians protested against this tight control and were shot at by the soldiers.
Albanians in Italy

In general, the present situation of the 24,000 Albanians in Italy is no longer as desperate as it was earlier. Many of them found work in agriculture in the South. In Central and Northern Italy, they found work in construction, small factories and janitorial services. Local authorities, despite the negative attitudes of some, assisted Albanians in finding work. They found warm reception in Italian-Albanian villages. The fact that Albania is so close could make travel to and from Italy easy if there were no barriers. Migrants could play an important role in the development of Albania. Seasonal migration could be a solution for some people. The Albanian immigrants interviewed last May were critical of the violence and drug problems at home on both sides of the water. Some were thinking of going back. Let’s hope that in the not-too-distant future the Adriatic Sea will become a place of exchange, crossed not by vedettes and military ships or by rafts of desperate people, but by boats of people at home on both sides of the water.

Notes

1. Some people in the present government, like Ramiz Alia, used to be in Enver Hodja’s government.

2. In October 1991 I began research on eastern immigration in Italy. The goal was to conduct about fifty qualitative interviews in Tuscany, Liguria and Emilia-Romagna. I hoped to conduct return interviews with some people to see how they integrated. Unfortunately, it was possible to interview only twenty-five people, twelve of whom were Albanians. In May we interviewed other refugees, including six Albanians. All the Albanians were living in Tuscany (Florence, Siena, Scandicci). At the same time, a student from my department did research on the Albanians in Portocannone, a small village in the Molise, founded by Albanian refugees of Skanderberg in the fifteenth century. The student conducted sixteen interviews. Although our methodologies were different, we were still able to compare results. In the article I referred to thirty-four interviews, and I also made use of press releases.

3. The importance of Italian television in creating the Italian myth in Albania has been emphasized by many observers and journalists. Albanian refugees referred to Italian television when they explained how they gained knowledge of Italy. It was not by chance that in March 1991 the director of an Italian channel felt it was his duty to explain to Albanians that Italian reality is not the one that appeared on television.

4. The level of development is not comparable to that of other former socialist countries. In Albania 50 percent of the population worked in agriculture in 1988, 66 percent were rural. In Romania it was 22 percent and 49 percent, respectively; in Poland 22 percent and 39 percent; in Bulgaria 14 percent and 34 percent (De Agostini 1991). Albanian cities are quite small. Tirana, the capital, has only 225,000 inhabitants; Durrës 80,000 and Valona 70,000 (De Agostini 1991). The total population in Albania is approximately three million people.
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